



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/696,178	10/26/2000	Koichi Furusawa	P19724	4348
7055 759	5 7590 10/21/2003		EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			QADERI, RUNA S	
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			3737	9
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/21/2003	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. ł.	_				
Advisory Action		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/696,178	FURUSAWA ET AL.		
	Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Runa S. Qaderi	3737		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
There final recondit	REPLY FILED 26 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA fore, further action by the applicant is required to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apperination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in		
	4 PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) [$\stackrel{\underline{\underline{\vee}}}{\underline{\vee}}$ The period for reply expires \not 2 months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.			
b) L	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dail	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP		
have be 37 CFR (b) abov	sen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened ve, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mopatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in		
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF				
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note to	below);			
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.		
_	NOTE:				
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	• • ———			
4	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment		
5.🖂	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place the		
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	' to issues which were newly		
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
	Claim(s) allowed:				
	Claim(s) objected to:				
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-12</u> .				
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.		
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	•			
	Other:	9	1/10/		

DENNIS W. RUHL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER ◆ Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/696,178

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The translation of the enitre apparatus in the z (depth) direction either toward or away from the specimen as recited in columns 16-19 and figure 9c of the Boppart et al. reference encompasses the limitation to a driving unit that moves the interfermometer of applicant's claim 1.